

REMARKS

In the Official Action, the Examiner made an election of species requirement between the species depicted by Figure 1 and the species depicted by Figure 2.

In response to the Examiner's requirement, applicants hereby elected the species depicted by Figure 1 without traverse. All of claims 1-8 read on the elected species. In this respect, claim 1 has concurrently been amended to define the invention with greater precision consistent with the description set forth in the passage beginning at page 6, line 6. It is evident that the claim revisions are not with regard to the patentability of the defined subject matter.

Favorable consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner wish to discuss any aspect of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

By: 

Robert G. Mukai
Registration No. 28,531

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

Date: November 7, 2007